LAKELAND CITY COMMISSION

Regular Session
April 19, 2021

The Lakeland City Commission met in Regular Session in the City Commission Chambers. Mayor Bill Mutz and Commissioners Chad McLeod Bill Read, Stephanie Madden, Don Selvage, Sara McCarley and Phillip Walker were present. City Manager Shawn Sherrouse, City Attorney Palmer Davis, Finance Director Mike Brossart, and City Clerk Kelly Koos were present.

CALL TO ORDER - 3:00 P.M.

PRESENTATIONS

Recognition of LPD K-9 Unit / Introduce New K-9 Teams (Chief Garcia)

LPD Community Services Division / School Resource Officer Update (Chief Garcia)

PROCLAMATIONS – None

COMMITTEE REPORTS AND RELATED ITEMS

Legislative Committee 04/16/21

Commissioner Phillip Walker presented this item to the Commission.

Motion: Commissioner Chad McLeod moved to approve the report. Commissioner Stephanie Madden seconded.

Mayor Bill Mutz asked for comments from the Commission and the audience.

Commissioner Stephanie Madden liked the frequent updates. She reached out concerning Broadband to Cyndi Clemmons. LE was concerned about the short time frame to engineer attachments, and the clause prohibiting MUNIs from raising poll attachment fees, and the financial responsibility of installing a higher pole at the provider's request.

Commissioner Phillip Walker encouraged the Commission to contact the local delegation.

Commissioner Chad McLeod thanked Commissioners Phillip Walker and Stephanie Madden for the updates. This conversation showed the Commission's ability to influence on the matter.

Action: Mayor Bill Mutz called for the vote and the motion carried unanimously.

Municipal Boards & Committees 04/16/21

Commissioner Stephanie Madden presented this item to the Commission.

Motion: Commissioner Bill Read moved to approve the report. Commissioner Phillip Walker seconded.

Mayor Bill Mutz asked for comments from the Commission and the audience. There were no comments.

Action: Mayor Bill Mutz called for the vote and the motion carried unanimously.

Real Estate & Transportation Committee 04/16/21

Commissioner Bill Read presented this item to the Commission.

Purchase and Sale Agreement – 2659 US Highway 98 North

Motion: Commissioner Sara McCarley moved to approve the report. Commissioner Chad McLeod seconded.

Mayor Bill Mutz asked for comments from the Commission and the audience. There were no comments.

Action: Mayor Bill Mutz called for the vote and the motion carried unanimously.

APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA

All items listed with an asterisk (*) were considered routine by the City Commission and were enacted by one motion following an opportunity for public comment. There was no separate discussion of these items unless a City Commissioner or Citizen so requested, in which event the item was removed from the consent agenda and considered in its normal sequence.

Motion: Commissioner Phillip Walker moved to approve the Consent Agenda. Commissioner Sara McCarley seconded.

Mayor Bill Mutz asked for comments from the Commission and the audience. There were no comments.

Action: Mayor Bill Mutz called for the vote and the motion carried unanimously.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES (with any amendments)

- * City Commission Minutes 03/30/21 – 04/05/21
- * Utility Committee 04/05/21

Action: The Commission approved this item as part of the Consent Agenda.

REQUESTS TO APPEAR FROM THE GENERAL PUBLIC

John Wing re Solar Power

Commissioner Bill Read had solar for hot water and the pool when the last hurricane came through and lost it. They had to pay full cost to have it reinstalled after repairing the roof.

Commissioner Don Selvage asked if John Wing saw an advancement in batteries to cover the solar shortages.

Mr. Wing - the cost of batteries was dropping. Within a few years, batteries will be sufficient to see us through the night. The thought that was 10 years down the road.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

Ordinances (Second Reading)

Ordinance 5870; Proposed 21-017; Amending the City of Lakeland Investment Policy for Long Term Funds (1st Rdg. 04-05-21)

> AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF LAKELAND, FLORIDA RELATING TO INVESTMENT POLICIES; MAKING FINDINGS; REPEALING ORDINANCE NO. 4875; ADOPTING AN AMENDED CITY OF LAKELAND INVESTMENT POLICY; AUTHORIZING CHANGES IN INVESTMENTS IN CERTAIN CATEGORIES; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Palmer Davis read the short title.

Motion: Commissioner Phillip Walker moved to approve the ordinance. Commissioner Chad McLeod seconded.

Mayor Bill Mutz asked for comments from the Commission and the audience. There were no comments.

Action: Upon roll call vote Commissioners Phillip Walker, Don Selvage, Sara McCarley, Stephanie Madden, Chad McLeod, Bill Read, and Mayor Bill Mutz voted aye. Ayes-seven. Nays-zero. The motion carried unanimously.

Resolutions

Proposed 21-027; Designating Property Located at 2010 Griffin Road a Brownfield Area

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF LAKELAND, FLORIDA, DESIGNATING PROPERTY LOCATED AT 2010 GRIFFIN ROAD AS A BROWNFIELD AREA; AUTHORIZING THE CITY ATTORNEY TO NOTIFY THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION OF SAID DESIGNATION; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Palmer Davis read the short title. He asked the Commission to vote to waive the 5 pm. Hearing requirement for the second hearing on May 3, 2021.

Commissioner Phillip Walker moved to waive the 5 p.m. hearing requirement. Commissioner Bill Read seconded.

Mayor Bill Mutz asked for comments from the Commission and the audience. There were no comments from the audience.

The Commission discussed the waiver of the hearing time.

CITY MANAGER

Recommendation re: FAA Grant Agreement for Airport Funding through the Airport Coronavirus Relief Grant Program

The Coronavirus Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriation Act (CRRSAA) (Public Law 116-320) was signed into law on December 27, 2020 and included nearly $2 billion in funds to be awarded as economic relief to eligible U.S. airports and eligible concessions at those airports to prevent, prepare for, and respond to the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic.

To distribute these funds, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) has established the Airport Coronavirus Response Grant Program (ACRGP). The FAA will make grants to all airports that are part of the national airport system, including all commercial service airports, all reliever airports, and some public-owned general aviation airports.

Through the CRRSAA the Lakeland Linder International Airport (LLIA) received an ACRGP grant offer of $91,162. The FAA agrees to pay 100% of allowable costs related to operations, personnel, cleaning, sanitization, janitorial services, combating the spread of pathogens at the airport, and debt service payments. The grant amount was derived by a legislative formula. LLIA intends to use the funds towards its operational expenses, such as payroll, other operating costs or payment of debt service.

The grant requires the governing body to authorize the execution of the grant and certification from the sponsoring agency's attorney must be completed by no later than May 6, 2021.

Staff recommended that the City Commission authorize the appropriate City officials to enter this grant agreement with the FAA. Staff also recommended that the City Commission authorize an increase in estimated FAA grant revenues in the amount of $91,162. No additional appropriations are required to accommodate this request

Shawn Sherrouse presented this item to the Commission.

Motion: Commissioner Chad McLeod moved to approve the recommendation. Commissioner Sara McCarley seconded.

Mayor Bill Mutz asked for comments from the Commission and the audience. There were no comments.

Action: Mayor Bill Mutz called for the vote and the motion carried unanimously.

* **Recommendation re: Change Order to Hubbard Construction Contract for New Service Road at Lakeland Linder International Airport**

Lakeland Linder International Airport (LLIA) entered into a land lease agreement to accommodate a second Fixed Base Operator (FBO) in October 2020. As the second FBO's development commences, LLIA was seeking to construct a new internal service road.

This realignment was required due to the FBO development east of TWY G, north of TWY A and south of the North Aprons area. With the new development, the existing perimeter non-movement access to the west was essentially terminated and must be restored for tenants to retain the same movement they had prior to the new FBO development.

The Airport had an existing construction contract in place with Hubbard Construction Company (Hubbard) for the rehabilitation and reconstruction of TWY A, B and C. LLIA had negotiated a Change Order with Hubbard for the pavement work required to construct the new service road in the amount of $536,680 comprised of line items and unit costs included in the current contract and negotiated unit costs for other pavement items. The Change Order had been reviewed by staff and one of the Airport's Engineering firms.

Staff recommended that the City Commission authorize the appropriate City officials to enter the Change Order with Hubbard Construction Company in the amount of $536,680 for the construction of the new service road.

Staff also recommended that the City Commission authorize an increase in estimated revenues in the Airport Capital Fund in the amount of $70,689 along with a corresponding appropriation in the amount of $536,680 in Airport Capital Fund from the following:

- Issuance of an internal loan in the amount of $70,689 currently budgeted in FY21 for another capital project, and
- $465,991 from the Airport's Operating Fund Surplus

Action: The Commission approved this item as part of the Consent Agenda.

* **Recommendation re: Change Order with Register Construction for Soffit Repairs and Painting at 3131 Flightline Drive/Lakeland Linder International Airport**

Lakeland Linder International Airport (LLIA) issued Bid No. 1064 on February 5, 2021, for soffit replacement and exterior painting of the multiple tenant hangar located at 3131 Flightline Drive, on the south side of the Airport. The work consisted of removing all damaged and rusted existing soffit and replacement with new soffit and the priming and painting of the entire building, including exterior walls, hangar doors, and soffit.

The Airport received only one bid in the amount of $552,777. The bid was reviewed by staff and our engineering firm and was determined to be excessive as the bid was approximately $200,000 over budget and estimates. After discussions with Purchasing the bid was cancelled on April 2nd due to budgetary issues. LLIA was authorized to negotiate with Register Construction (Register) who is presently under a contract to complete the NOAA expansion.

LLIA requested Register to review the bid requirements and provide the costs to complete the work outlined in the Bid. All soffit will be new. Structural, fascia and other material will be changed out if they are damaged or rusted and will be changed out with same size members. The entire exterior facility (soffit, fascia, doors, trim, stucco etc.) will be painted. Register provided a not to exceed cost of $355,637 to complete the soffit work and painting, which is $197,140 or 35.6% lower than the initial bid cost. The not to exceed cost will also protect the Airport from any unforeseen expenses related to the soffit repairs, as well. The proposal submitted by Register has been reviewed by staff and our engineering firm and is in line with estimated costs to provide these services.

Staff recommended that the City Commission authorize the appropriate City officials to enter a Change Order with Register Construction Company in the amount of $355,637 for the soffit repairs and painting of 3131 Flightline Drive.

Staff also recommended that the City Commission authorize an appropriation in the amount of $355,637 in the Airport Capital Fund to be funded from the Airport's Operating Fund Surplus.

Action: The Commission approved this item as part of the Consent Agenda.

Recommendation re: Award of Bid No. 1005 to QGS Development, Inc.; Authorize the Mayor to execute a Change Order for the Water and Force Main Relocation; and Authorize the Corresponding Appropriation and Increase in Estimated Revenues for the Lakeland Park Drive Connector Project

The Lakeland Park Drive Connector was a 0.50-mile project that would connect Lakeland Park Drive with Carpenters Way. The project would provide a two-lane roadway, 10' wide asphalt pathway on the north side of the road, street lighting, and a stormwater drainage system. Construction plans have been prepared by CivilSurv Design Group, Inc. on behalf of Public Works. DRMP, Inc. was under contract to provide CEI Services. This agenda item contains three parts.

Part 1. This is to Award Bid No. 1005 for project construction. The City's Purchasing Division advertised for construction bids beginning December 3, 2020 and five bids were received on February 9, 2021. Those bids were:

1. QGS Development, Inc. $6,309,597.76 (Plant City, FL)
2. Ajax Paving Industries of FL, LLC $6,340,853.00 (North Venice, FL)
3. Cobb Site Development Inc. $6,897,055.05 (Wauchula, FL)
4. JR. Davis Construction Co. Inc. $7,975,544.05 (Kissimmee, FL)
5. Watson Civil $9,197,610.73 (St. Augustine, FL)

CivilSurv and City staff have evaluated the bids and were recommending the award of Bid No. 1005 to QGS Development, Inc. The City's Purchasing Division published a letter of Intent to Award on March 9, 2021. The protest period ended without a protest filed.

Part 2. This was to authorize the appropriate City officials to execute a Change Order for the water and force main relocation needed for this project. The Water Utilities Department had about 140 linear feet of new water pipeline and about 135 linear feet of new force main pipe to install because of their existing facilities conflicting with the new roadway. Water Utilities had determined that they did not have the staff and resources to complete this work within the time needed to clear the project for the road contractor to maintain its schedule. Public Works had requested a proposal from QGS to relocate the water main and force main. QGS had presented a proposal in the amount of $122,134.25. DRMP and City staff had reviewed the proposal and found it satisfactory. This work was funded in the Water Utilities' Capital Improvement Fund.

Part 3. This was to address the funding variance for this project. The bids that were received are more than was budgeted for this project. We had more than a $2,000,000 price increase for the Lakeland Park Drive Connector project. To cover the deficit, we were recommending to:

A. Postpone funding for collector sidewalk projects in FY 2023 and 2025 to FY 2026 and 2028;

B. Appropriate $500,000 from Transportation District 1 Impact Fees immediately; and

C. Borrow the remaining $1,600,000 in FY 2022 from the Internal Loan Fund through the annual budget process. The debt service on the $1,600,000 internal loan to the Transportation Fund in FY 2022 would be paid back from Transportation District 1 Impact Fees between FY 2022 and FY 2025 via the annual budget process.

Therefore, staff recommended that the City Commission:

A. Award Bid No. 1005 to QGS Development Inc. and authorize the appropriate City officials to execute the Construction Agreement in the amount of $6,309,597.76; and,

B. Authorize the appropriate City officials to execute the Change Order for the water and force main relocation on behalf of the City; and

C. Authorize the appropriation and increase in estimated revenues of $500,000 from the Transportation District 1 Impact Fee Fund to the Transportation Fund to assist with FY 2021 funding of the project.

Shawn Sherrouse presented this item to the Commission.

Staff's estimate to complete was $114,000. Staff was inundated and concerned about delaying the timeline and being charged with liquidated damages because of the delay. Staff thought it was best to contract the work out.

Motion: Commissioner Phillip Walker moved to approve the recommendation. Commissioner Chad McLeod seconded.

Mayor Bill Mutz asked for comments from the Commission and the audience.

Commissioner Stephanie Madden asked about the total dollar figure and what it covered. Does $6.3 Million cover the construction and the relocation?

Greg James explained $6.3 Million was for the road construction and additional $120,000 was for the water work. The total would be $6.4 Million.

Commissioner Bill Read - why the increase by $2 Million?

Greg James reviewed the engineer construction estimate. Staff budgeted in the transportation fund and it was last updated in FY19. There was a $1 Million difference between FY19 and the current fiscal year. Aluminum and PVC prices have increased. They added $100k in landscaping as part of right-of-way negotiations, earth work came in high too because of demand for the work. It was approximately $9 Million for the road.

Commissioner Sara McCarley asked for an explanation as to why it takes so long to develop a road for the public's information.

Greg James look at needs on a road system, identify connections that will bring traffic relief, that takes 2 years, then they design which takes 2 years. Right-of-Way acquisition is the wild card and can take 2-3 years, construction takes 2-12 months. Best case scenario 71/2 years to build a new road.

Commissioner Chad McLeod asked for the explanation about postponing funding for collector sidewalks.

Greg James we were looking at spending $400k for sidewalks on the north half of the city. The individual projects were not identified yet. They delayed the sidewalks to allow impact fees to accumulate for that district.

Commissioner Bill Read clarified ADA requirements were funding from a separate account and would not be delayed by this delay.

Action: Mayor Bill Mutz called for the vote and the motion carried unanimously.

Shawn Sherrouse offered verbal reports:

- Charlie Belcher, from Fox 13, at was at Lake Crago Park this morning. Hope to be able to go live onsite from the Airport soon.
- Congratulated Airport and City Staff for a successful Sun 'n Fun. Saturday had the largest attendance ever.
- National Fleet Management Association just ranked Lakeland's fleet as #11 out of the 100 best in the country

CITY ATTORNEY

Ordinances (First Reading)

Proposed 21-018; Amending Chapter 102 of the Lakeland City Code, Adding Requirements to Disposal of Dental Amalgam Waste

> AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF LAKELAND, FLORIDA RELATED TO PRETREATMENT REQUIREMENTS FOR DENTAL AMALGAM; AMENDING ARTICLE II OF CHAPTER 102 OF THE CODE OF THE CITY OF LAKELAND, FLORIDA TO ADD DEFINITIONS AND REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DISPOSAL OF DENTAL AMALGAM WASTE; PROVIDING FOR THE REPEAL OF ORDINANCES IN CONFLICT HEREWITH; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Palmer Davis read the short title.

The foregoing is the title of an ordinance read for the first time by short title only. The Commission will hold the second reading and public hearing on May 3, 2021.

Resolutions

Resolution 5672; Proposed 21-028; Authorizing the Execution of an Agreement for the Subordination of City Utility Interests Located at U.S. Highway 98 South, Within Parcel 504.2

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF AN AGREEMENT FOR THE SUBORDINATION OF CITY UTILITY INTERESTS LOCATED AT U.S. HIGHWAY 98 SOUTH WITHIN PARCEL 504.2; MAKING FINDINGS; PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Palmer Davis read the short title.

Motion: Commissioner Chad McLeod moved to approve the resolution. Commissioner Bill Read seconded.

Mayor Bill Mutz asked for comments from the Commission and the audience. There were no comments.

Action: Upon roll call vote Commissioners Chad McLeod, Bill Read, Stephanie Madden, Sara McCarley, Don Selvage, Phillip Walker, and Mayor Bill Mutz voted aye. Ayes – seven. Nays – zero. The motion carried unanimously.

Resolution 5673; Proposed 21-029; Adopting the 2020 Polk County Multi-Jurisdictional Local Mitigation Strategy Update

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF LAKELAND, FLORIDA, SUPPORTING AND APPROVING THE POLK COUNTY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL LOCAL MITIGATION STRATEGY; MAKING FINDINGS; PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Palmer Davis read the short title.

Motion: Commissioner Chad McLeod moved to approve the resolution. Commissioner Sara McCarley seconded.

Mayor Bill Mutz asked for comments from the Commission and the audience. There were no comments.

Action: Upon roll call vote Commissioners Phillip Walker, Don Selvage, Sara McCarley, Stephanie Madden, Chad McLeod, Bill Read, and Mayor Bill Mutz voted aye. Ayes-seven. Nays-zero. The motion carried unanimously.

Resolution5674; Proposed 21-030; Extending Public Transportation Grant Agreement with Florida Department of Transportation for Rehabilitation of Taxiway E at Lakeland Linder International Airport - Contract No. G1812

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AN AMENDMENT OF A GRANT AGREEMENT WITH THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FOR IMPROVEMENTS TO TAXIWAY E AT LAKELAND LINDER INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT; ADOPTING FINDINGS; PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Palmer Davis read the short title.

Motion: Commissioner Sara McCarley moved to approve the resolution. Commissioner Phillip Walker seconded.

Mayor Bill Mutz asked for comments from the Commission and the audience. There were no comments.

Action: Upon roll call vote Commissioners Chad McLeod, Bill Read, Stephanie Madden, Sara McCarley, Don Selvage, Phillip Walker, and Mayor Bill Mutz voted aye. Ayes – seven. Nays – zero. The motion carried unanimously.

Resolution 5675; Proposed 21-031; Extending Public Transportation Grant Agreement with Florida Department of Transportation for Rehabilitation of Taxiways A, B, & C at Lakeland Linder International Airport - Contract No. G1896

> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AN AMENDMENT OF A GRANT AGREEMENT WITH THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FOR IMPROVEMENTS OF TAXIWAYS A, B AND C AT LAKELAND LINDER INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT; ADOPTING FINDINGS; PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Palmer Davis read the short title.

Motion: Commissioner Chad McLeod moved to approve the resolution. Commissioner Sara McCarley seconded.

Mayor Bill Mutz asked for comments from the Commission and the audience.

Commissioner Bill Read asked to see a map.

Gene Conrad presented the maps and explained they were just extending the grant. The work was complete.

Commissioner Sara McCarley asked about the runway naming.

Gene Conrad - in the new master plan, there would be new naming. Alpha, Bravo, Charlie, etc.

Action: Upon roll call vote Commissioners Phillip Walker, Don Selvage, Sara McCarley, Stephanie Madden, Chad McLeod, Bill Read, and Mayor Bill Mutz voted aye. Ayes-seven. Nays-zero. The motion carried unanimously.

Resolution 5676; Proposed 21-032; Establishing City Commission Meeting Times

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISISON OF THE CITY OF LAKELAND, FLORIDA ESTABLISHING MEETING TIMES FOR REGULAR MEETINGS OF THE CITY COMMISSION AND UTILITY COMMITTEE; MAKING FINDINGS; REPEALING RESOLUTIONS IN CONFLICT HEREWITH; PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

Palmer Davis read the short title.

Motion: Commissioner Phillip Walker moved to approve the resolution. Commissioner Bill Read seconded.

Mayor Bill Mutz asked for comments from the Commission and the audience.

Commissioner Don Selvage supported the Utility Committee moving, to occur prior to the commission meeting. That kept approvals in the correct sequence.

Commissioner Stephanie Madden - it does seem like there have been a lot of people coming lately and the meetings have been long. She did not feel like she knew about how the citizens felt about us moving back. She has not heard from anyone.

Commissioner Don Selvage - LkldNOW polled the public when we moved to 3 p.m. and most favored the change.

Mayor Bill Mutz - there had not been a big difference in attendance. It is the best time of day for brain work, controversial issues that are noticed will still draw people out. Not everyone works 8-5. The change will benefit staff and the Commission. There will still be occasions where meetings will occur in the evening.

Paul Cunningham came forward and spoke against the time change. He wanted Lakeland to hold their meetings in the evenings. Their refusal restricted access to government and suppressed votes. It was a lack of respect of the people they serve. It was a festering wound. The public will resent them. He wanted evening meetings or would organize a petition drive to change the charter.

Action: Upon roll call vote Commissioners Chad McLeod, Bill Read, Sara McCarley, Don Selvage, Phillip Walker, and Mayor Bill Mutz voted aye. Commissioner Stephanie voted Nay. Ayes – six. Nays – one. The motion carried.

Miscellaneous Reports

Memo re: Agreement with Florida Disaster Consulting, LLC for Federal Emergency Management Agency Public Assistance Grant Management Services

This Agreement with Florida Disaster Consulting, LLC (FDC) was for Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Public Assistance Grant Management Services. On May 26, 2020, the City's Purchasing Department issued Request for Proposal (RFP) No. 0168, seeking qualified firms to provide consulting services to ensure the City meets compliance requirements to obtain full reimbursement from FEMA for eligible disaster recovery costs. FEMA is now operating under a new Public Assistance model for reimbursement that is completely different from previous programs. The new model is more of a FEMA "hands-off" approach that places the responsibility of documentation for reimbursement on individual agencies. As such, the City can greatly benefit and maximize its disaster reimbursement costs through FEMA with the assistance of a qualified consultant.

A total of four (4) responses to the City's RFP were received and are listed below:
• Florida Disaster Consulting, LLC – Lakeland, Florida
• BrenCo, LLC – Marianna, FL
• Tidal Basin Government Consulting, LLC – Utica, NY
• Witt O'Brien's, LLC – Washington, DC

Upon evaluation of the firms, City staff selected FDC as the most responsive responsible qualified firm capable of meeting the City's needs. FDC is a full-service disaster management company specializing in public assistance grant management, cost recovery, debris monitoring, training and intergovernmental relations for all types of catastrophic events. FDC is a locally owned small business in Lakeland and has provided guidance to public agencies for disaster recovery for twelve (12) years. In addition to assisting state and local government agencies in recovering over $8 billion dollars in FEMA reimbursements, FDC has also assisted local governments with other grant management programs such as Federal Highway Emergency Relief, Natural Resource Conservation Service, Community Development Block Grants, Hazard Mitigation Grant Funding Administration and CARES Act funding.

Pursuant to the Agreement, FDC's services will include: assisting the City in identifying eligible FEMA Public Assistance projects; conducting meetings with City, State and FEMA staff; performing site visits with FEMA and other stakeholders; collecting and preparing project documentation; drafting scopes of work, as well as other project related language; assistance in working with the Florida Division of Emergency Management; and other related services.

The term of the Agreement with FDC, effective May 1, 2021, upon City Commission approval, will continue for an initial term of three (3) years unless otherwise terminated pursuant to the Agreement and contains an option to renew for an additional five (5) year period upon mutual written agreement of the parties. All work performed by FDC pursuant to the Agreement shall be subject to the issuance of written task orders approved by the City. The total cost of services with FDC for the above-specified services will be paid in accordance with a fee schedule in connection with City approved services until project completion. Most costs the City will incur from FDC are considered direct administrative costs, which are reimbursable through FEMA. Specifically, 75% of the costs are reimbursable at the minimum federal share of 75%, with the State and the City splitting the remaining 25% non-Federal share at12.5% each. Therefore, the City's maximum cost share pursuant to this Agreement would be 12.5% of the total costs charged by FDC.

It is recommended that the City Commission approve this Agreement with FDC for FEMA Public Assistance Grant Management Services and authorize the appropriate City officials to execute all corresponding documents related to the Agreement.

Ramona Sirianni presented this item to the Commission.

Motion: Commissioner Phillip Walker moved to approve the agreement. Commissioner Chad McLeod seconded.

Mayor Bill Mutz asked for comments from the Commission and the audience. There were no comments.

Action: Mayor Bill Mutz called for the vote and the motion carried unanimously.

Memo re: West Polk Lower Floridan Aquifer Wellfield Implementation Agreement

This Implementation Agreement between the City of Lakeland, Polk County and various municipalities within Polk County was for the development of the West Polk Lower Floridan Aquifer Wellfield Project. The West Polk Wellfield Project was presented to the City Commission at a March 12 workshop, with a recommendation from the City's Water Utility Department that the City participate in this Project in order to diversify its sources of potable water and to secure additional supply in anticipation of both the 2038 expiration of the City's current water use permit and the potential for regulatory changes prior to such time.

The West Polk Wellfield Project is one of several alternative water supply projects that have been approved by the Polk Regional Water Cooperative (PRWC), making it eligible for grant funding from the Southwest Florida Water Management District (SWFWMD). The Project is anticipated to yield approximately 10 million gallons per day (10 mgd) based upon calendar year 2045 buildout estimates. The projected water allotments for the various jurisdictions electing to participate in the Project as of the effective date of this Agreement are shown in the following table:

Project Participants' 2045 Water Allotment Table:

Project Participants	2045 Water Allotment Annual Average (MGD)	2045 Water Allotment Percentage (%)
City of Auburndale	0.10	1.238%
City of Eagle Lake	0.20	2.475%
City of Lakeland	6.31	78.094%
Polk City	0.03	0.371%
Polk County	0.98	12.129%
City of Winter Haven	0.10	1.238%
City of Bartow	0.36	4.455%
TOTAL	8.08	100.00%

Under the proposed Implementation Agreement, a Project Board will be formed after the effective date of the Agreement by the Project Participants. Each Project Participant will appoint a director to serve on the Project Board and the Board's decisions will be based upon a weighted vote methodology, whereby the weight of each director's vote will be in proportion to the water allotment percentage of the jurisdiction represented by that director. The City of Lakeland's director will exercise approximately 78% of the Board's vote, reflecting Lakeland's lead role in this Project. The City of Mulberry and the City of Lake Alfred will initially participate in the Project solely as Project Associates, which will entitle them to participate in Project Board meetings for purposes of determining possible future status as a full Project Participant, but will not confer on them any voting rights until full participation.

Upon formation, one of the first orders of business for the Project Board will be the selection of engineering consultants to design the Project. Pursuant to the Implementation Agreement, the consultants will first prepare 60% design plans. The Agreement is structured such that, upon completion of the 60% plans, any Project Participant may elect to withdrawal from the Project. Upon withdrawal, the Project Participant remains liable to pay their share of the costs to develop the 60% plans (with each Participant's share being based upon their water allotment percentage as shown above), but will no longer be liable for the costs to complete 100% plans or for construction costs. Participants that do not withdraw will be responsible for their percentage share of the costs to complete the Project.

The Implementation Agreement is conditioned upon SWFWMD agreeing to provide at least 50% funding of the Project's capital costs. The current estimated cost to develop 60% plans for the Project is $6.16 million, with Lakeland's proportionate share estimated to be $5 million. Upon commencement of construction, these sums will be eligible for 50% reimbursement pursuant to the pending SWFWMD grant. The estimated construction costs for the Project are $171 million, with Lakeland's proportionate share estimated at $70 million after co-funding by SWFWMD. In addition to SWFWMD funding, the PRWC intends to apply for a low-interest Water Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act (WIFIA) loan for the Project through the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. The WIFIA loan is anticipated to provide funding for an additional 48% of Project costs. The remaining costs for the Project will likely be funded through a low-interest State Revolving Fund loan through the Florida Department of Environmental Protection.

It is anticipated that the West Polk Wellfield will be developed in 2.5 mgd increments, with an anticipated buildout in 2045 ultimately producing 10 mgd of finished water. The construction stages will be based upon projected demands as the project develops. It is currently estimated that the first stage of the Project will be online by 2027 and will produce 2.5 mgd of available potable water for the Project Participants.

It is recommended that the City Commission approve the Implementation Agreement for the West Polk Lower Floridan Wellfield Project and that the appropriate City officials be authorized to execute the Agreement

Palmer Davis presented this item to the Commission.

Since Agenda Study on Friday, the City of Bartow has signed up to participate in this project. That changed allotments but the City of Lakeland still held 78% of the project.

Commissioner Bill Read asked about the interest on the loan.

Palmer Davis - 2% which was low annual principal and interest payments.

Commissioner Phillip Walker asked about Bartow.

Commissioner Chad McLeod explained the off ramp of $70 Million was independent of the WIFIEA loan

Bill Anderson explained the $70 Million will cover Lakeland's costs. The loan is available with debt service coming due 5 years after completion.

Commissioner Bill Read will it accrue interest during the 5 years? Yes

Commissioner Stephanie Madden - the 50/50 grant was the first off ramp, the second off ramp was the 60% design stage. If we do not like the project, we can get out at the cost of the design phase. She asked about the prohibition on taxation.

Palmer Davis explained it was anticipated it would be funded through rates.

Bill Anderson was working with consultant to build costs into the rates.

Palmer Davis explained the agreement said the city was not required to raise taxes for the project. There was nothing in the agreement that would require the city to raise taxes.

Commissioner Chad McLeod - the fact that we are here with this agreement is significant. We could not be here without a regional approach.

PUBLIC HEARINGS – con't.

Miscellaneous

Appeal of Planning & Zoning Board's Denial of 1 Source - Legacy Cell Tower Application - 1800 Harden Boulevard

On January 20, 2021, the City of Lakeland Planning & Zoning Board, by a vote of 4 to 2, denied a conditional use application filed by Mattaniah Jahn, on behalf of SAFAN Inc. and 1 Source Towers, LLC, to construct a 110-foot tall ground-mounted, personal wireless services facility (cell tower) on approximately 1.3 acres located at 1800 Harden Boulevard. Mattaniah Jahn, the attorney for the applicants, has timely filed an appeal of the Planning & Zoning Board's denial to the City Commission.

Section 12.7.3 of the City's Land Development Code (LDC) governs the procedure for an appeal of a Planning & Zoning Board decision to the City Commission and provides in relevant part as follows:

12.7.3 APPEAL TO THE CITY COMMISSION

Any aggrieved or adversely affected party, as defined in Section 163.3215, Florida Statutes, may appeal a decision of the Planning and Zoning Board to the City Commission based upon one or more of the following grounds:

a. The Planning and Zoning Board failed to properly follow adopted procedure or due process requirements;
b. The Planning and Zoning Board failed to properly apply adopted standards or regulations;
c. Administrative staff failed to follow professional practice in performing technical analysis;
d. No competent, substantial evidence was presented to the Planning and Zoning Board to support its decision; or
e. New evidence has been discovered that, through the exercise of proper diligence, could not have been discovered prior to the public hearing before the Planning and Zoning Board.

Upon consideration of the appeal, the City Commission shall first determine whether one or more of the above grounds for appeal exist. If the Commission does not find that at least one of the above grounds exists, the Commission shall deny the appeal and affirm the decision of the Planning and Zoning Board. If the Commission finds that one or more of the above grounds exist, the Commission shall then, at the same meeting, conduct a full public hearing on the merits of the case. The hearing may be continued by the Commission to a later date for good cause. At the hearing, the City Commission may consider both new testimony and evidence, as well as the record created before the Planning and Zoning Board. Following the hearing, the City Commission may:

a. Affirm the decision of the Planning and Zoning Board;
b. Remand the case to the Board for reconsideration; or
c. Reverse, in whole or in part, or modify the decision of the Board and direct staff to prepare an ordinance or other appropriate instrument granting relief in accordance with the Commission's direction.

The appeal process established by the Land Development Code is a two-step process that does not grant an appellant an automatic right to a de novo hearing before the City Commission. Instead, the first part of the process is a review by the City Commission of the decision of the Planning & Zoning Board to determine whether any of the deficiencies listed in Section 12.7.3 a. – e. above exist. If none of the listed deficiencies are found to exist, the City Commission must deny the appeal and affirm the decision of the Planning & Zoning Board. If the Commission finds that one or more of the specified deficiencies exist, the Commission must then conduct a full de novo hearing on the merits of the application. This de novo hearing would typically occur at the same meeting as the initial review of the Planning & Zoning Board's decision but may be continued to a later date for good cause.

In this case, the appellant does not allege a denial of due process, a lack of competent substantial evidence to deny the application based upon normal zoning considerations (such as compatibility and aesthetics), or that new evidence has been discovered that the City Commission should consider. Rather, the appellant focuses on a provision of the Federal Telecommunications Act that provides that, although the Act generally preserves the zoning authority of local governments, "[t]he regulation of the placement, construction, and modification of personal wireless service facilities by any State or local government or instrumentality thereof...shall not prohibit or have the effect of prohibiting the provision of personal wireless services." The appellant argues that there are significant gaps in coverage in the area intended to be served by the proposed cell tower, and, as a result, a denial of the proposed tower has the effect of prohibiting the provision of personal wireless services in the area in violation of the Federal Telecommunications Act. This, appellant argues, results in the Planning & Zoning Board's decision creating the following grounds for reversal under Section 12.7.3 of the LDC:

b. The Planning and Zoning Board failed to properly apply adopted standards or regulations;
c. Administrative staff failed to follow professional practice in performing technical analysis.

It should be noted that the United States Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals, which establishes binding precedent for Florida, Georgia and Alabama, has not yet ruled on whether a gap in coverage as alleged by the applicant here constitutes an effective prohibition of personal wireless services as contemplated by the Federal Telecommunications Act.

Included in the agenda packet for reference is: (1) the written appeal of the Planning & Zoning Board's decision filed by Attorney Mattaniah Jahn (2) the conditional use application for the cell tower filed with the City, (3) the minutes from the Planning & Zoning Board's December 15, 2020 public hearing on this matter, (4) the Community & Economic Development Department staff report and recommendation of denial to the Planning & Zoning Board, and (5) the minutes of the January 20, 2021 Planning & Zoning Board meeting at which the conditional use application was denied.

The applicants' attorney has requested an initial 45 minutes to make their presentation given the complexity of the issues. Community & Economic Development staff will then be available to explain their recommendation of denial, and the hearing should then be opened to public comment. It is a customary element of procedural due process to then allow the applicant to address staff and public comments, although this should be limited to rebuttal and is not an opportunity to make new arguments or present new evidence. The Commission will then need to decide whether the Planning & Zoning Board's decision was subject to any of the deficiencies specified in Section 12.7.3 of the Land Development

Code. If not, the decision of the Planning & Zoning Board should be affirmed. If a deficiency is found to exist, the Commission should then hold a de novo hearing on the application, either at the same meeting or at a subsequent meeting for good cause shown.

Palmer Davis introduced this item to the Commission.

Teresa Maio provided background on staff's recommendation. The application was originally filed in September 2020. The request was for a 110 ft. tall ground mounted cell tower. The property was 1.3 acres located at 1800 Harden Bl. Planning & Zoning denied the request at the January 20, 2021 general meeting after the December 15, 2020 public hearing. The subject property was owned by four oil companies and was used for storage. A Phase I environmental site assessment indicated soil contaminants. Soil remediation would be required for redevelopment. The property was annexed in September 1998. It was assigned mixed commercial corridor future land use designation and light industrial zoning. There was a conditional use on the property to recognize the dry-cleaning service.

Cell towers are classified and regulated as ground mounted personal wireless service facilities. Within I-1 Industrial Zoning they were permitted by right but were subject to dimensional standards and special requirements mandated by the Land Development Code. That included tower height and horizontal separation from other uses. Exceptions can be acquired through the conditional use process.

The purpose of this request was to acquire exceptions to the horizontal separation requirements. At 110 ft., the tower would accommodate four wireless service providers and was a monopole design with antennas flush mounted on the exterior of the pole. The applicant was only required to co-locate two antennas but were requesting to serve up to four providers. The applicant was requesting relief in separation requirements, 188.9 ft to the apartments (Autumn Wood), 118 ft. to the mobile home park (Citrus Center), and 114 ft. to the Right-of-Way (Harden Bl). For every 1 ft. in height, there was a 2 ft. separation requirement.

There were eight criteria the City would consider for granting conditional uses:

1. Height and visual obtrusiveness of the facility
2. Degree of visibility from the public view
3. Proximity of facility to residential structures and boundaries.
4. Character of the uses of structures on adjacent and nearby properties.
5. The character of the land, including topography and tree coverage
6. The design of the facility with reference to design characteristics that have the effect of reducing or eliminating visual obtrusiveness
7. The degree to which the facility reduces the proliferation of visually obtrusive structures through co-location
8. Competent evidence that reasonable alternatives to the proposed conditional use do not exist

Staff found the degree of nonconformity was substantial and the applicant failed to provide a design that mitigates the visual impacts of the tower. The Planning & Zoning Board considered the 8 criteria and voted to deny based on staff recommendation. Planning & Zoning voted 4-2 to deny the conditional use.

The Planning & Zoning denied the request based on the following criteria:
- Incompatible with adjacent uses;
- Detrimental to the character of the harden boulevard corridor as the location is highly visible within public view;
- Does not meet the minimum horizontal separation standards specified by LDC and would be highly visible to adjacent residential uses to the north and east; and
- Would be highly visible to motor vehicle and pedestrian traffic and incompatible with the low-rise office, commercial, and residential character of the corridor.

Mattaniah Jahn presented on behalf of the applicant. Santiago Torres of Verizon Wireless, Justin Dehnert, and Michael Swords were also present. Ms. Jahn clarified that the analysis of alternative locations was provided in the record and available to Planning & Zoning as part of their application. Her presentation was 75 minutes long and focused on Federal law.

The applicant was requesting to install a mono pole for wireless service. He reviewed the horizontal separation, and the fall zone radius. Should the monopole fall, it would over itself. FAA determined no problem to air navigation. 1Source would clean up their portion of the site.

Ms. Jahn reviewed the Telecom Act and the claims that can be brought under the Telecom Act.

Santiago Torres RF Engineer for wireless network gave testimony about the RF Usage and Facility Justification. Verizon was experiencing a gap in indoor service in the area. The lack of capacity in the area was important. The lack of capacity in the area limited Verizon's ability to provide service in the area. Verizon was experiencing capacity congestion in the area. Mr. Torres pointed out the areas of gap in service, up to 5,000 unique devices using data in the area. To resolve gaps, wireless companies first try to upgrade existing systems, then upgrade co-locations, then look for new sites. Mr. Torres reviewed alternative sites and reasons for elimination. The proposed tower on Ariana, which was denied, would not have resolved Verizon's gaps in the area.

Justin Dehnert, CEO of 1 Source, gave testimony about wireless site acquisition. He reviewed his attempts to secure locations for the tower. The Beacon search ring was developed before the applicant came forward with the subject site. Edgewood Extension was out of the required area. There were some sites eliminated because of price negotiations.

Ms. Jahn summarized the testimony and requested the Commission overturn the Planning & Zoning Board's denial.

Commissioner Phillip Walker asked if the waivers required to city's law had any bearing against federal law? Ms. Jahn explained federal law only steps in over the waiver requirement if the applicant had chosen the least intrusive site. The applicant did extensive research and pursued alternates and so federal law does override in this case. It was not an everyday occurrence.

Palmer Davis had thoughts on that after they heard from the public.

Commissioner Don Selvage asked if the appeal was because the Planning & Zoning Board failed to consider the federal law. Jahn: yes. Her position was that the board defaulted back to the City's code but because there were extra parts, it required them to consider the federal law components. She did present the federal law in summary format during Planning & Zoning.

Commissioner Chad McLeod thought the decision on federal law should be left to the courts. He did not see where they made a mistake. Jahn: there has been one court that has ruled to encourage local boards to decide these cases locally.

Commissioner Bill Read: there is a lot of rulings handed down by the State that we have to live by. We have to answer to the citizens of Lakeland. He had a problem with compatibility and separation.

Mayor Bill Mutz encouraged them to listen well.

Commissioner Stephanie Madden: there are probably many citizens who would like to have better coverage. If not his spot or the other spot we disapproved, where?

Commissioner Phillip Walker: If you're fine not having service for your community, then what?

Public Comment

Edna Mackerly spoke against the cell tower. She asked if it was 5G or 6G?

Palmer Davis directed to the Commission to consider the Planning & Zoning ruling. The telecommunications act prohibited the Commission from considering RF emissions.

Pastor William Burris spoke against the tower for the sake of children. There were a lot of elderly people living nearby. People will not be able to sell their homes. He appreciated Mr. Read directing the applicant to the place on Edgewood.

Joseph Musmaric lived in the area. spoke against the tower because of the exposure to the kids at the school. He asked the Commission to deny the tower.

Nildery Varigus Marc spoke against the tower on behalf of the community that has been there for many years.

Marco Argular: reliable internet phone and data connection was essential to his job and his kid's education. In his professional experience he reviewed the RF package. His home is in the service gap. Lakeland needs a responsible technology infrastructure, but it needs to be clean and used wisely for our health. He spoke against the tower because of excessive radio waves.

Jennifer Argular: she reviewed the RF package. She was concerned about the determining user need. The package submitted made no claims to gaps. Timing - The original search ring has a minimal height of 100 ft and priority was only ranked as a C. Measurement - the map of coverage does not specify a unit of data. We do not know if it was authenticated. Coverage on these maps were represented bianary with no in between. She has not noticed poor service.

Palmer Davis reminded the Commission the nature of the hearing is a review of the Planning & Zoning Board decision. To overturn the decision, the Commission must determine that the Planning & Zoning Board made a mistake. Is the law clear enough to determine they made a mistake? He did not think so. There was a case in Jacksonville, but it did not have the same issue. The 11th Circuit has not decided this issue. How can the City conclude that the Planning & Zoning Board has made a mistake? He asked the Commission to allow the applicants attorney to have a rebuttal.
Ms. Jahn a rebuttal.

Ms. Jahn called Mr. Torres forward. Mr. Torres works with system performance engineers to determine coverage and capacity needs for Verizon. They use proprietary information that they are not allowed to release to the public. He had knowledge of electromagnetic waves. Verizon had a significant gap in services today. They projected when towers are expected to reach exhaustion. They have reached the capacity need for a new tower. Verizon operates its towers in compliance with FCC emissions guidelines. The map Ms Argular showed presented a 5G map from the website but that did not predict the best service that Verizon can provide. Verizon currently had a gap in 4G service.

Commissioner Stephanie Madden are any of the other towers close to residents, have any residents been sick, is the tower in Dixieland Verizon's? Torres: Yes, most of our towers are located close to residents. For a tower to be effective it must be close to the users. He could not say if residents have gotten sick. They are in compliance with FCC. Verizon does have antenna on the Dixieland tower.

Jahn: There was discussion on property values. For that to be substantial and competent, you would need an expert witness to provide that information. That witness did not indicate he was an expert in that matter.

Jahn reminded the Commission they were prohibited from denying based on RF emissions. She disagreed with Palmer Davis on the 11th Circuit Issue. The Commission had 2 paths before them today, one with fear and one with facts. She asked the Commission to follow federal law as it applies to these facts in this instance. It was the application to these unique facts. She requested the Commission overturn the Planning & Zoning Board denial.

Mayor Bill Mutz reminded the Commission they needed to work from a motion that measured weather or not the Planning & Zoning Board did their job. Upholding or not upholding should be the motion. Our job is limited to that decision.

Motion: Commissioner Phillip Walker moved to uphold the Planning & Zoning Boards decision. Commissioner Sara McCarley seconded.

Palmer Davis confirmed (for the letter of denial) that the Commission upheld the Planning & Zoning board decision based on the lack of binding precedent in the 11th Circuit Court of Appeals and on the CED Staff report supporting the denial. Commissioner Phillip Walker and Commissioner Sara McCarley agreed to the reasoning behind the motion.

Commissioner Phillip Walker asked what happens if the motion passes. Palmer Davis: after today that would be the final action by the City. The applicant will decide if they want to take it further.

Commissioner Stephanie Madden: thanked Ms. Jahn for the exhaustive presentation. It was important that we consider this as a body because we do have folks who are afraid of the radiation and that is the one issue we are not permitted to consider. Planning & Zoning made the decision they made based on the facts they had. They did not hear the detail that we heard tonight. The 11th Circuit Court has not decided this issue. That was why she was going to support the Planning & Zoning decision. She thanked the citizens for coming out to protect their neighborhoods.

Commissioner Don Selvage was not considering the radiation argument at all. He urged none of them to consider that. He challenged the Mayor on why they should not consider coverage in the Planning & Zoning Board decision.

Mayor Bill Mutz: we are not able to make a qualified decision on coverage, property value and RF issues.

Commissioner Don Selvage: but if we stipulate that Verizon is correct in the gap in coverage. He has received one email complaining about lack of coverage. Is Verizon saying there is not a gap now but it's coming?

Mayor Bill Mutz: did not intentionally weigh in on coverage issues. We must focus on Planning & Zoning Board's decision based on the information they had available and the Land Use Code.

Commissioner Phillip Walker was basing his decision on what was best for Lakeland.

Mayor Bill Mutz agreed with Commissioner Stephanie Madden that the Commission had to do a good job of educating the public.

Commissioner Sara McCarley heard Palmer Davis say the Commission needed to decide if the Planning & Zoning Board made a mistake in their decision. She did not believe they made a mistake in their decision. She believed that the City needed to continue to have this discussion. We do need to educate the public on these issues but that did not change her belief about the P&Z decision.

Commissioner Bill Read was a user of Verizon. Invariable new technology will become available. We need to keep out minds open.

Commissioner Stephanie Madden - we are not making a judgement on RF, but it always comes up from the public's perspective. She did not think the Planning & Zoning Board made a mistake.

Action: Upon roll call vote Commissioners Chad McLeod, Bill Read, Stephanie Madden, Sara McCarley, Don Selvage, Phillip Walker, and Mayor Bill Mutz voted aye. Ayes – seven. Nays – zero. The motion carried unanimously.

AUDIENCE

Captain Terain Thomas Wadley commercial freshwater fisherman. She believed the fish (tilapia) were the cause of the poor water quality. She thought they could improve water quality in freshwater lakes through healthy harvesting. She was asking for a subsidy for these fish. If they get it, the entire US will follow Lakeland.

Paul Cunningham expanded on his comments about the evening meetings. He put a list of cities in Polk county who hold evening meetings. There were 3 larger cities in Florida that also held evening meetings. Kissimmee, Clearwater, and Ft Lauderdale all held 6 p.m. meetings. There was no difference between 9 a.m. and 3 p.m. meetings. He wanted meetings to start at 6 p.m. He also spoke against the 5-minute time limit.

MAYOR AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY COMMISSION

Commissioner Sara McCarley

- Great job Lori Smith, Heath Frederick for the $42.9 M grant for Lake Bonnet
- Great transit meeting this week. We are starting the Squeeze, an electric vehicle that will circulate downtown

Commissioner Don Selvage

- Congratulated the Citrus Connection Board
- Announced PAL Football game this Saturday LPD vs PCSO at Bryant Stadium at 1 p.m.
- Unsung Hero Angelo Rao. He is leaving May 7 for a private company in Tampa to focus on Complete Streets.

Commissioner Phillip Walker

- Lynne Simpkins and Brenda Palmore both retired this last Friday.

Commissioner Bill Read

- Congratulated Angelo Rao. Tampa can use his help.
- Congratulated staff on Sun 'n Fun.

Commissioner Phillip Walker reminded everyone early voting started Monday.

The meeting adjourned at 8:29 p.m.

H. William Mutz, Mayor

Kelly S. Koos, City Clerk

CITY OF LAKELAND, FLORIDA
INCORPORATED
JAN. 1, 1885